

**CLAIMS AMENDMENTS**

Please amend claims 1-9, 11-45, 47-53, 57-59, 61-63, 66-67, 70-71, 76, 80-81, 83-85, 89, 92-95, 97-98 and 101-104 as shown below. All other claims remain unchanged.

1. (currently amended) A method of converting specific-case information to general-case information, comprising ~~the steps of:~~  
identifying specific-case terms by scanning through at least one source document, using a computer processor;  
proposing substitutions of general-case terms for the identified specific-case terms in said at least one source document, also using said computer processor; and

displaying the proposed substitutions for said at least one source document, on a computerized display device, wherein:

said specific-case terms are not so-identified based upon a determination of which terms are repeated within said at least one source document; and

said specific-case terms are so-identified without making use of HTML elements preexisting within said at least one source document.

2. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, ~~said step of substituting~~ further comprising ~~the step of:~~

for all identified occurrences of a particular specific-case term, uniformly substituting a single general-case term therefor.

3. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, wherein:

said specific-case terms comprise sensitive identifying terms to be sanitized from said at least one source document; and

said general-case terms comprise sanitization terms for

5   concealing said sensitive identifying terms.

1   4.   (currently amended)   The method of claim 1, further

2 | ~~comprising the step of:~~

3       displaying a form field in connection with each proposed  
4   substitution.

1   5.   (currently amended)   The method of claim 4, further

2 | ~~comprising the steps of:~~

3       maintaining linkages among all form fields connected with  
4   the proposed substitutions for a particular specific-case term;  
5       entering a new specific-case term into one of the linked  
6   form fields using a computerized input device; and  
7       automatically filing said new specific-case term into all of  
8   the form fields linked to said one of said linked form fields.

1   6.   (currently amended)   The method of claim 5, further

2 | ~~comprising the steps of:~~

3       passing said linkages to a computerized form program; and  
4       entering and automatically filling using said computerized  
5   form program.

1 | 7.   (currently amended)   The method of claim 1, ~~said step of-~~  
2   identifying specific-case terms further ~~comprising the steps of:~~

3       comparing terms in said at least one source document with  
4   names in a name database; and

5       identifying a term as a specific-case term based on said  
6   term matching a name in said name database.

1   8.   (currently amended)   The method of claim 7, further

2 | ~~comprising the step of:~~

3       deriving said name database from a corpus of a large number

of documents of similar nature to said at least one source document.

9. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, ~~said step of~~ identifying specific-case terms further comprising ~~the steps of~~: comparing terms in said at least one source document with patterns in a pattern grammar database; and identifying a term as a specific-case term based on said term matching a grammar pattern in said grammar pattern database.

10. (original) The method of claim 9, wherein said grammar pattern is selected from the grammar group consisting of: a city, state, zip pattern; a city, state pattern, a price pattern, a name pattern; and a date pattern.

11. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, ~~said step of~~ identifying specific-case terms further comprising ~~the steps of~~: identifying a particular section of said at least one source document as being a section customarily containing specific-case terms; and

identifying a term as a specific-case term based on said term being located in the identified particular section at a place customarily occupied by specific-case terms.

12. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, ~~said step of~~ identifying specific-case terms further comprising ~~the steps of~~: comparing terms in said at least one source document with terms in a collocation database; and

identifying a term as a specific-case term based on said term matching a term in said collocation database.

13. (currently amended) The method of claim 12, further

2 | ~~comprising the step of:~~

3 |       deriving said collocation database from a corpus of a large  
4 | number of documents of similar nature to said at least one source  
5 | document.

1 | 14. (currently amended) The method of claim 12, further

2 | ~~comprising the step of:~~

3 |       further identifying said term as a specific-case term based  
4 | on the collocation of said term being used below a predetermined  
5 | usage threshold.

1 | 15. (currently amended) The method of claim 14, further

2 | ~~comprising the step of:~~

3 |       establishing said predetermined usage threshold using a  
4 | computerized input device.

1 | 16. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, said ~~step of-~~  
2 | identifying specific-case terms further ~~comprising the steps of:~~

3 |       comparing terms in said at least one source document with  
4 | terms in a rare word database; and

5 |       identifying a term as a specific-case term based on said  
6 | term matching a term in said rare word database which is used  
7 | below a predetermined usage threshold.

1 | 17. (currently amended) The method of claim 16, further

2 | ~~comprising the step of:~~

3 |       deriving said rare word database from a corpus of a large  
4 | number of documents of similar nature to said at least one source  
5 | document.

1 | 18. (currently amended) The method of claim 16, further

2 | ~~comprising the step of:~~

3        establishing said predetermined usage threshold using a  
4        computerized input device.

1        19. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further

2        ~~comprising the step of:~~

3               classifying specific-case terms according to a plurality of  
4               types of specific-case terms;

5               selecting which types of specific-case terms are to be  
6               identified for proposed substitution; and

7               identifying for proposed substitution, only terms which fall  
8               within one of the selected types of specific-case terms.

1        20. (currently amended) The method of claim 19, wherein said

2        plurality of types of specific-case terms is selected from at

3        least two members of the specific-case type group consisting of:

4        names; addresses; cities; states; countries; postal codes; dates;

5        prices; collocations; rare words; and terms in sections of said

6        at least one source document customarily containing specific-case  
7        terms.

1        21. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further

2        ~~comprising the step of:~~

3               undoing a proposed substitution previously selected by a

4        user, by designating a proposed substitution to be undone, using

5        a computerized input device.

1        22. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further

2        ~~comprising the step of:~~

3               adding a substitution by designating a substitution to be

4        added, using a computerized input device.

1        23. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further

2 | ~~comprising the step of:~~

3 |       modifying a proposed substitution by designating a proposed  
4 | substitution to be modified, using a computerized input device;  
5 | and

6 |       entering a modification to said proposed substitution, using  
7 | said computerized input device.

1 | 24. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further

2 | ~~comprising the steps of:~~

3 |       overriding a proposed substitution;  
4 |       learning from said overriding using machine learning; and  
5 |       thereafter, applying said machine learning to said ~~step of~~  
6 | proposing substitutions.

1 | 25. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further

2 | ~~comprising the steps of:~~

3 |       designating a proposed substitution on said computerized  
4 | display device, using a computerized input device; and  
5 |       in response to said designating, displaying the specific-  
6 | case term for which said proposed substitution is proposed, on  
7 | said computerized display device.

1 | 26. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further

2 | ~~comprising the step of:~~

3 |       finalizing said at least one source document into at least  
4 | one finalized document by initiating finalization, using a  
5 | computerized input device; and

6 |       thereafter, barring a computerized output of said finalized  
7 | document from revealing all specific-case terms for which  
8 | substitutions have been made and finalized.

1 27. (currently amended) The method of claim 26, further  
2 ~~comprising the step of:~~  
3 displaying a form field in connection with each finalized  
4 substitution.

1 28. (currently amended) The method of claim 27, further  
2 ~~comprising the steps of:~~  
3 maintaining linkages among all form fields connected with  
4 the finalized substitutions for a particular specific-case term;  
5 entering a new specific-case term into one of the linked  
6 form fields using a computerized input device; and  
7 automatically filing said new specific-case term into all of  
8 the form fields linked to said one of said linked form fields.

1 29. (currently amended) The method of claim 28, further  
2 ~~comprising the steps of:~~  
3 passing said linkages to a computerized form program; and  
4 entering and automatically filling using said computerized  
5 form program.

1 30. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further  
2 ~~comprising the steps of:~~  
3 displaying said at least one source document on said  
4 computerized display device;  
5 displaying within said at least one source document, said  
6 general-case terms proposed to be substituted for said specific-  
7 case terms; and  
8 further displaying on said computerized display device, a  
9 list of said proposed substitutions listing said general-case  
10 terms in relation to said specific-case terms for which they are

proposed to be substituted.

31. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further

~~comprising the steps of:~~

displaying at least part of said at least one source  
document on said computerized display device;

displaying within said at least one source document, said  
specific-case terms for which it is proposed to substitute said  
general-case terms;

further displaying on said computerized display device, at  
least part of a proposed substitution document; and

displaying within said proposed substitution document, said  
proposed substitutions, with sections of said at least one source  
document containing said specific-case terms, juxtaposed relative  
to sections of said at least one source document containing said  
general-case terms, to facilitate comparison ~~therebetween~~ said  
sections.

32. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further

~~comprising the steps of:~~

identifying said specific-case terms by scanning through a  
batch plurality of source documents, using said computer  
processor;

proposing substitutions of general-case terms for the  
identified specific-case terms in said batch plurality of source  
documents, also using said computer processor; and

displaying the proposed substitutions for said batch  
plurality of source documents, on said computerized display  
device.



33. (currently amended) A method of interfacing a user with a computer for converting specific-case information to general-case information, ~~comprising the steps of:~~

displaying at least one source document on a computerized display device;

displaying within said at least one source document, general-case terms proposed to be substituted for specific-case terms originally existing in said at least one source document, based on processing by a computer processor; and

further displaying on said computerized display device, a proposed substitution list listing said general-case terms in relation to said specific-case terms for which they are proposed to be substituted, wherein:

said specific-case terms are not identified based upon a determination of which terms are repeated within said at least one source document; and

said specific-case terms are identified without making use of HTML elements preexisting within said at least one source document.

34. (currently amended) The method of claim 33, further comprising ~~the step of:~~

further displaying for a particular general-case term and its related specific-case term, a numeric tally of how many times said particular general-case term is proposed to be substituted for said related specific-case term.

35. (currently amended) The method of claim 33, further comprising ~~the step of:~~

undoing a proposed substitution previously selected by a  
user, by designating a proposed substitution to be undone, using  
a computerized input device.

36. (currently amended) The method of claim 33, further  
~~comprising the step of:~~

adding a substitution by designating a substitution to be  
added, using a computerized input device.

37. (currently amended) The method of claim 33, further  
~~comprising the steps of:~~

modifying a proposed substitution by designating a proposed  
substitution to be modified, using a computerized input device;  
and

entering a modification to said proposed substitution, using  
said computerized input device.

38. (currently amended) The method of claim 33, further  
~~comprising the steps of:~~

designating a proposed general-case term within said display  
of said at least one source document, using a computerized input  
device; and

in response to said designating, displaying the  
corresponding specific-case term, on said computerized display  
device.

39. (currently amended) The method of claim 33, further  
~~comprising the step of:~~

within said at least one source document, for all  
occurrences of a particular specific-case term, displaying a  
single, uniform general-case term substitution therefor.

1 40. (currently amended) The method of claim 33, further  
2 ~~comprising the step of:~~  
3 displaying a form field in connection with each general-case  
4 term proposed to be substituted for a specific-case term.

1 41. (currently amended) The method of claim 40, further  
2 ~~comprising the steps of:~~  
3 entering a new specific-case term into a particular form  
4 field using a computerized input device; and  
5 automatically filing said new specific-case term into all of  
6 the form fields which originally contained the same specific-case  
7 term as said particular form field.

1 42. (currently amended) The method of claim 33, further  
2 ~~comprising the step of:~~  
3 barring a computerized output of at least one finalized  
4 document from revealing all specific-case terms for which  
5 substitutions have been made, once said at least one source  
6 document has been finalized.

1 43. (currently amended) A method of interfacing a user with a  
2 computer for converting specific-case information to general-case  
3 information, ~~comprising the steps of:~~  
4 displaying at least part of at least one source document on  
5 a computerized display device;  
6 displaying within said at least one source document,  
7 specific-case terms for which it is proposed to substitute  
8 general-case terms, based on processing by a computer processor;  
9 further displaying on said computerized display device, at  
10 least part of a proposed substitution document; and

displaying within said proposed substitution document, said general-case terms proposed to be substituted for said specific-case terms, juxtaposed relative to said specific-case terms to facilitate comparison between said specific-case terms and said general-case terms, wherein:

said specific-case terms are not identified based upon a determination of which terms are repeated within said at least one source document; and

said specific-case terms are identified without making use of HTML elements preexisting within said at least one source document.

44. (currently amended) The method of claim 43, further comprising ~~the steps of:~~

displaying a subset of said at least one source document and a corresponding subset of said proposed substitution document, showing sections of said at least one source document containing ~~selected particular~~ proposed substitutions of general-case terms, juxtaposed relative to sections of said at least one source document containing said ~~for~~ specific-case terms, to facilitate comparison between said sections.

45. (currently amended) A method of interfacing a user with a computer for converting specific-case information to general-case information, comprising ~~the step of:~~

displaying on a computerized display device, a proposed substitution list listing general-case terms proposed to be substituted for specific-case terms originally existing in at least one source document, in relation to said specific-case

terms for which they are proposed to be substituted, based on processing by a computer processor, wherein:

said specific-case terms are not identified based upon a determination of which terms are repeated within said at least one source document; and  
said specific-case terms are identified without making use of HTML elements preexisting within said at least one source document.

46. (original) The method of claim 45:

said at least one source document comprising a batch plurality of source documents; and

said proposed substitution list comprising proposed substitutions for said batch plurality of source documents.

47. (currently amended) The method of claim 45, further ~~comprising the step of:~~

further displaying for a particular general-case term and its related specific-case term, a numeric tally of how many times said particular general-case term is proposed to be substituted for said related specific-case term.

48. (currently amended) The method of claim 45, further ~~comprising the step of:~~

undoing a proposed substitution previously selected by a user, by designating a proposed substitution to be undone, using a computerized input device.

49. (currently amended) The method of claim 45, further ~~comprising the step of:~~

adding a substitution by designating a substitution to be

added, using a computerized input device.

50. (currently amended) The method of claim 45, further comprising ~~the steps of:~~

modifying a proposed substitution by designating a proposed substitution to be modified, using a computerized input device; and

entering a modification to said proposed substitution, using said computerized input device.

51. (currently amended) A computerized apparatus for converting specific-case information to general-case information, comprising:

a computer processor identifying specific-case terms by scanning through at least one source document;

said computer processor also proposing substitutions of general-case terms for the identified specific-case terms in said at least one source document; and

a computerized display device displaying the proposed substitutions for said at least one source document, wherein:

said specific-case terms are not so-identified based upon a determination of which terms are repeated within said at least one source document; and

said specific-case terms are so-identified without making use of HTML elements preexisting within said at least one source document.

52. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 51, further comprising:

said computer processor uniformly substituting a single

general-case term for all identified occurrences of a particular specific-case term.

53. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 51: said specific-case terms comprising sensitive identifying terms to be sanitized from said at least one source document; and said general-case terms comprising sanitization terms for concealing said sensitive identifying terms.

54. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 51, further comprising:

said computerized display device displaying a form field in connection with each proposed substitution.

55. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 54, further comprising:

said computerized apparatus maintaining linkages among all form fields connected with the proposed substitutions for a particular specific-case term;

a computerized input device for entering a new specific-case term into one of the linked form fields; and

said computerized apparatus automatically filing said new specific-case term into all of the form fields linked to said one of said linked form fields.

56. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 55, further comprising:

a computerized form program receiving a passing of said linkages thereto; and

said computerized form program for said entering and said automatically filling.

1 57. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 51,  
2 , further comprising:

3       said computer processor comparing terms in said at least one  
4 source document with names in a name database; and  
5       said computer processor identifying a term as a specific-  
6 case term based on said term matching a name in said name  
7 database.

1 58. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 57,  
2 further comprising:

3       said name database, derived from a corpus of a large number  
4 of documents of similar nature to said at least one source  
5 document.

1 59. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 51,  
2 , further comprising:

3       said computer processor comparing terms in said at least one  
4 source document with patterns in a pattern grammar database; and  
5       said computer processor identifying a term as a specific-  
6 case term based on said term matching a grammar pattern in said  
7 grammar pattern database.

1 60. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 59, said  
2 grammar pattern selected from the grammar group consisting of: a  
3 city, state, zip pattern; a city, state pattern, a price pattern,  
4 a name pattern; and a date pattern.

1 61. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 51,  
2 , further comprising:

3       said computer processor identifying a particular section of  
4 said at least one source document as being a section customarily



containing specific-case terms; and

said computer processor identifying a term as a specific-case term based on said term being located in the identified particular section at a place customarily occupied by specific-case terms.

62. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 51, further comprising:

said computer processor comparing terms in said at least one source document with terms in a collocation database; and

said computer processor identifying a term as a specific-case term based on said term matching a term in said collocation database.

63. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 62, further comprising:

said collocation database, derived from a corpus of a large number of documents of similar nature to said at least one source document.

64. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 62, further comprising:

said computer processor further identifying said term as a specific-case term based on the collocation of said term being used below a predetermined usage threshold.

65. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 64, further comprising:

a computerized input device for establishing said predetermined usage threshold.

66. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 51,

2 further comprising:

3 |       said computer processor comparing terms in said at least one  
4 | source document with terms in a rare word database; and

5 |       said computer processor identifying a term as a specific-  
6 | case term based on said term matching a term in said rare word  
7 | database which is used below a predetermined usage threshold.

1 67. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 66,  
2 further comprising:

3 |       said rare word database, derived from a corpus of a large  
4 | number of documents of similar nature to said at least one source  
5 | document.

1 68. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 66, further  
2 comprising:

3 |       a computerized input device for establishing said  
4 | predetermined usage threshold.

1 69. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 51, further  
2 comprising:

3 |       said computerized apparatus classifying specific-case terms  
4 | according to a plurality of types of specific-case terms;

5 |       said computer processor selecting which types of specific-  
6 | case terms are to be identified for proposed substitution; and

7 |       said computer processor identifying for proposed  
8 | substitution, only terms which fall within one of the selected  
9 | types of specific-case terms.

1 70. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 69,  
2 said plurality of types of specific-case terms selected from at  
3 least two members of the specific-case type group consisting of:

names; addresses; cities; states; countries; postal codes; dates; prices; collocations; rare words; and terms in sections of said source at least one document customarily containing specific-case terms.

71. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 51, further comprising:

a computerized input device for undoing a proposed substitution previously selected by a user, by designating a proposed substitution to be undone.

72. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 51, further comprising:

a computerized input device for adding a substitution by designating a substitution to be added.

73. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 51, further comprising:

a computerized input device for modifying a proposed substitution by designating a proposed substitution to be modified; and

said computerized input device for entering a modification to said proposed substitution.

74. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 51, further comprising:

a computerized input device for overriding a proposed substitution;

a machine learning program learning from said overriding; and

said machine learning program thereafter applying said

8 machine learning to said computer processor proposing  
9 substitutions.

1 75. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 51, further  
2 comprising:

3 a computerized input device for designating a proposed  
4 substitution on said computerized display device; and  
5 said computerized display device, in response to said  
6 designating, displaying the specific-case term for which said  
7 proposed substitution is proposed.

1 76. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 51,  
2 further comprising:

3 a computerized input device for initiating finalization and  
4 thereby finalizing said at least one source document into a  
5 finalized document; and

6 thereafter, said computerized apparatus barring a  
7 computerized output of said finalized document from revealing all  
8 specific-case terms for which substitutions have been made and  
9 finalized.

1 77. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 76, further  
2 comprising:

3 said computerized display device displaying a form field in  
4 connection with each finalized substitution.

1 78. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 77, further  
2 comprising:

3 said computerized apparatus maintaining linkages among all  
4 form fields connected with the finalized substitutions for a  
5 particular specific-case term;

6 a computerized input device for entering a new specific-case  
7 term into one of the linked form fields; and

8 said computerized apparatus automatically filing said new  
9 specific-case term into all of the form fields linked to said one  
10 of said linked form fields.

1 79. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 78, further  
2 comprising:

3 a computerized form program receiving a passing of said  
4 linkages thereto; and

5 said computerized form program for said entering and said  
6 automatically filling.

1 80. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 51,  
2 further comprising:

3 said computerized display device displaying said at least  
4 one source document;

5 said computerized display device displaying within said at  
6 least one source document, said general-case terms proposed to be  
7 substituted for said specific-case terms; and

8 said computerized display device further displaying a list  
9 of said proposed substitutions listing said general-case terms in  
10 relation to said specific-case terms for which they are proposed  
11 to be substituted.

1 81. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 51,  
2 further comprising:

3 said computerized display device displaying at least part of  
4 said at least one source document;

5 said computerized display device displaying within said at

6 | least one source document, said specific-case terms for which it  
7 | is proposed to substitute said general-case terms;  
8 |       said computerized display device further displaying at least  
9 | part of a proposed substitution document; and  
10 |       said computerized display device displaying within said  
11 | proposed substitution document, said proposed substitutions, with  
12 | sections of said at least one source document containing said  
13 | specific-case terms, juxtaposed relative to sections of said at  
14 | least one source document containing said general-case terms, to  
15 | facilitate comparison ~~there~~ between said sections.

1 | 82. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 51, further  
2 | comprising:

3 |       said computer processor identifying said specific-case terms  
4 | by scanning through a batch plurality of source documents;  
5 |       said computer processor also proposing substitutions of  
6 | general-case terms for the identified specific-case terms in said  
7 | batch plurality of source documents; and  
8 |       said computerized display device displaying the proposed  
9 | substitutions for said batch plurality of source documents.

1 | 83. (currently amended) A computerized apparatus for  
2 | interfacing a user with a computer for converting specific-case  
3 | information to general-case information, comprising:

4 |       a computerized display device displaying at least one source  
5 | document;

6 |       said computerized display device displaying within said at  
7 | least one source document, general-case terms proposed to be  
8 | substituted for specific-case terms originally existing in said

9 | at least one source document, based on processing by a computer  
10 | processor; and

11 |       said computerized display device further displaying a  
12 | proposed substitution list listing said general-case terms in  
13 | relation to said specific-case terms for which they are proposed  
14 | to be substituted, wherein:

15 |       said specific-case terms are not identified based upon a  
16 | determination of which terms are repeated within said at least  
17 | one source document; and

18 |       said specific-case terms are identified without making use  
19 | of HTML elements preexisting within said at least one source  
20 | document.

1 | 84. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 83,  
2 | further comprising:

3 |       said computerized display device further displaying for a  
4 | particular general-case term and its related specific-case term,  
5 | a numeric tally of how many times said particular general-case  
6 | term is proposed to be substituted for said related specific-case  
7 | term.

1 | 85. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 83,  
2 | further comprising:

3 |       a computerized input device for undoing a proposed  
4 | substitution previously selected by a user, by designating a  
5 | proposed substitution to be undone.

1 | 86. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 83, further  
2 | comprising:

3 |       a computerized input device for adding a substitution by

4 designating a substitution to be added.

1 87. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 83, further  
2 comprising:

3 a computerized input device for modifying a proposed  
4 substitution by designating a proposed substitution to be  
5 modified; and

6 said computerized input device for entering a modification  
7 to said proposed substitution.

1 88. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 83, further  
2 comprising:

3 a computerized input device for designating a proposed  
4 substitution on said computerized display device; and

5 said computerized display device, in response to said  
6 designating, displaying the specific-case term for which said  
7 proposed substitution is proposed.

1 89. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 83,  
2 further comprising:

3 | said computerized display device displaying a single,  
4 uniform general-case term substitution for all occurrences of a  
5 | particular specific-case term within said at least one source  
6 document.

1 90. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 83, further  
2 comprising:

3 said computerized display device displaying a form field in  
4 connection with each general-case term proposed to be substituted  
5 for a specific-case term.

1 91. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 90, further



comprising:

a computerized input device for entering a new specific-case term into a particular form field; and

said computerized apparatus automatically filing said new specific-case term into all of the form fields which originally contained the same specific-case term as said particular form field.

92. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 83, further comprising:

said computerized apparatus barring a computerized output of a finalized document from revealing all specific-case terms for which substitutions have been made, once said at least one source document has been finalized.

93. (currently amended) A computerized apparatus for interfacing a user with a computer for converting specific-case information to general-case information, comprising:

a computerized display device displaying at least part of at least one source document;

said computerized display device displaying within said at least one source document, specific-case terms for which it is proposed to substitute general-case terms, based on processing by a computer processor;

said computerized display device further displaying at least part of a proposed substitution document; and

said computerized display device displaying within said proposed substitution document, said general-case terms proposed to be substituted for said specific-case terms, juxtaposed

relative to said specific-case terms to facilitate comparison between said specific-case terms and said general-case terms currently amended currently amended, wherein:

said specific-case terms are not identified based upon a determination of which terms are repeated within said at least one source document; and

said specific-case terms are identified without making use of HTML elements preexisting within said at least one source document.

94. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 93, further comprising:

said computerized display device displaying a subset of said at least one source document and a corresponding subset of said proposed substitution document, showing sections of said at least one source document containing ~~selected particular~~ proposed substitutions of general-case terms, juxtaposed relative to sections of said at least one source document containing said ~~for~~ specific-case terms, to facilitate comparison between said sections.

95. (currently amended) A computerized apparatus for interfacing a user with a computer for converting specific-case information to general-case information, comprising:

a computerized display device displaying a proposed substitution list listing general-case terms proposed to be substituted for specific-case terms originally existing in at least one source document, in relation to said specific-case terms for which they are proposed to be substituted, based on

9 processing by a computer processor, wherein:

10       said specific-case terms are not identified based upon a  
11 determination of which terms are repeated within said at least  
12 one source document; and

13       said specific-case terms are identified without making use  
14 of HTML elements preexisting within said at least one source  
15 document.

1 96. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 95:

2       said at least one source document comprising a batch  
3 plurality of source documents; and

4       said proposed substitution list comprising proposed  
5 substitutions for said batch plurality of source documents.

1 97. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 95,  
2 further comprising:

3       said computerized display device further displaying for a  
4 particular general-case term and its related specific-case term,  
5 a numeric tally of how many times said particular general-case  
6 term is proposed to be substituted for said related specific-case  
7 term.

1 98. (currently amended) The computerized apparatus of claim 95,  
2 further comprising:

3       a computerized input device for undoing a proposed  
4 substitution previously selected by a user, by designating a  
5 proposed substitution to be undone.

1 99. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 95, further  
2 comprising:

3       a computerized input device for adding a substitution by

designating a substitution to be added.

100. (original) The computerized apparatus of claim 95, further comprising:

a computerized input device for modifying a proposed substitution by designating a proposed substitution to be modified; and

said computerized input device for entering a modification to said proposed substitution.

101. (currently amended) A computer-readable medium comprising computer-executable instructions for:

identifying specific-case terms by scanning through at least one source document, using a computer processor;

proposing substitutions of general-case terms for the identified specific-case terms in said at least one source document, also using said computer processor; and

displaying the proposed substitutions for said at least one source document, on a computerized display device, wherein:

said specific-case terms are not so-identified based upon a determination of which terms are repeated within said at least one source document; and

said specific-case terms are so-identified without making use of HTML elements preexisting within said at least one source document.

102. (currently amended) A computer-readable medium comprising computer-executable instructions for:

displaying at least one source document on a computerized display device;

displaying within said at least one source document,  
general-case terms proposed to be substituted for specific-case  
terms originally existing in said at least one source document,  
based on processing by a computer processor; and  
further displaying on said computerized display device, a  
proposed substitution list listing said general-case terms in  
relation to said specific-case terms for which they are proposed  
to be substituted, wherein:

said specific-case terms are not identified based upon a  
determination of which terms are repeated within said at least  
one source document; and

said specific-case terms are identified without making use  
of HTML elements preexisting within said at least one source  
document.

103. (currently amended) A computer-readable medium comprising  
computer-executable instructions for:

displaying at least part of at least one source document on  
a computerized display device;

displaying within said at least one source document,  
specific-case terms for which it is proposed to substitute  
general-case terms, based on processing by a computer processor;  
further displaying on said computerized display device, at  
least part of a proposed substitution document; and

displaying within said proposed substitution document, said  
general-case terms proposed to be substituted for said specific-  
case terms, juxtaposed relative to said specific-case terms to  
facilitate comparison between said specific-case terms and said

general-case terms, wherein:

said specific-case terms are not identified based upon a determination of which terms are repeated within said at least one source document; and

said specific-case terms are identified without making use of HTML elements preexisting within said at least one source document.

104. (currently amended) A computer-readable medium comprising computer-executable instructions for:

displaying on a computerized display device, a proposed substitution list listing general-case terms proposed to be substituted for specific-case terms originally existing in at least one source document, in relation to said specific-case terms for which they are proposed to be substituted, based on processing by a computer processor, wherein:

said specific-case terms are not identified based upon a determination of which terms are repeated within said at least one source document; and

said specific-case terms are identified without making use of HTML elements preexisting within said at least one source document.